

## Literature Review

Analytic epistemology experienced a monumental resurgence in the latter part of the twentieth century. A short paper by Edmund Gettier launched a frenzied era of original research into the nature of some of our central epistemic concepts, e.g., knowledge, justification, rationality, belief, defeat, and evidence. The excitement of Gettier's challenge to the view that knowledge is justified true belief drew interest from a wide range of very talented philosophers. Formidable figures such as Fred Dretske, John Pollack, Robert Nozick, Roderick Chisholm, Alvin Goldman, Marshall Swain, David Armstrong, Alvin Plantinga, William Alston, Richard Swinburne, and Gilbert Harman, to name just a few, published widely on the foregoing epistemic concepts.

This outpouring of original research meant that new theoretical tools and insights became available for application in philosophy of religion. Religious epistemology, taking advantage of this resurgence in mainstream epistemology, experienced a new era of original research. William Alston, Nicholas Wolterstorff, Alvin Plantinga, and Richard Swinburne all played a particularly central role in this resurgence. Alston, in his popular book *Perceiving God*, argued that religious beliefs held by way of religious experience are just as justified as our regular or quotidian perceptual beliefs. In his masterpiece *Warranted Christian Belief*, Plantinga, inspired by (i) the notion of a basic belief in the epistemic theory of foundationalism, (ii) his proper functioning account of warrant, and (iii) John Calvin's theology, defended the position that Christian beliefs are warranted if true. The broad outlines of his position came to be labeled "Reformed Epistemology." Wolterstorff, in his *Reason within the Bounds of Religion*, provided an elegant and sophisticated account of the role religious belief play in an agent's overall epistemic "web" of beliefs. Lastly, Swinburne, drawing on the Bayesian apparatus, mounted, over the space of several books, a Bayesian defense of the rationality of several key Christian tenets, including a commitment to the existence of God.

Today epistemology continues to flourish. A new account of knowledge, the safety account, is now gaining traction and is in fierce competition with virtue accounts, where such accounts have been inspired by Aristotle's virtue ethics. Timothy Williamson is the central figure advocating for a safety condition for knowledge, whilst Ernest Sosa and Duncan Pritchard now defend an account of knowledge that purports to incorporate the insights of both the safety and virtue intuitions about knowledge. John Greco and Linda Zagzebski are prominent figures in the virtue literature. While Williamson's work on safety can be studied apart from his larger knowledge-first project, the iconoclastic positions he takes in his *Knowledge and its Limits*, now considered a contemporary classic, on the nature of mental states, evidence, justification, and the normative relations between knowledge and assertion and practical reasoning are receiving a significant amount of attention by a wide array of philosophers both within and outside of epistemology. Of particular interest is Williamson's aim to shift our focus away from justification towards knowledge as the key notion in our epistemology and by which other epistemic concepts, such as justification, should be illuminated. He argues, *inter alia*, that far from it being possible to understand the latter in terms of the former, we should rather undertake to understand the former in terms of the latter.

Today there are also a number of issues and themes that are either being introduced for the first time or being reconsidered but from a fresh perspective. Several noteworthy

examples come to mind. Formal epistemology, which takes on apparatus from the philosophy of science and decision theory, attempts to formalize epistemic concepts, properties, and relations. Contextualism and subject-sensitive invariantism have been put forward as potentially novel responses to radical skepticism. Both introduce factors—including pragmatic factors—into the determination of knowledge that had previously been thought irrelevant to the nature of knowledge. The nature of defeat is now, in light of formal epistemology and Williamson's work on the nature of evidence and evidential probability, being re-examined with new areas of concern arising from higher-order evidence and its relationship to defeat and dogmatism. The epistemology of testimony has enjoyed its most prolific period since Hume and Reid clashed over the *de facto* status of testimony. This outpouring of scholarship was a direct result of the new material being developed in response to Gettier, testimony merely being another dominant source of knowledge. Finally, a growing number of scholars have begun to turn their attention to the epistemic implications of non-evidential factors in a belief's etiology, e.g., the correlation between one's place of birth and one's genetics with the content of one's beliefs. In addition, the honing of evolutionary theory by the sciences in the past few decades provided further evidence for the hypothesis that evolution played a role in some of our most fundamental moral and religious beliefs.

This document is divided into three broad sections. The first will provide a selective overview of the major currents in mainstream epistemology from Gettier onwards. The second section of the document will provide a selective overview of the state of the literature in religious epistemology with special attention allocated to the primary works of Alston and Plantinga and the secondary literature these works generated. A brief overview of some additional themes in religious epistemology concludes this section. The document concludes with a third section in which we reflect on the comparative state of the literature in mainstream epistemology and religious epistemology.

## **Section A: An Overview of Mainstream Epistemology**

### **I) Gettier and the Analysis of Knowledge and Justification (1963—Present)**

Below are a select number of influential pieces that exemplify the type of research undertaken in response to Gettier's paper. Worth special mention is Shope's book which provides an excellent overview of all the various means by which philosophers tried to overcome the epistemic gap Gettier identified between knowledge and a justified true belief. Most of the pieces mentioned below spawned a massive secondary literature of their own, a literature far too large to catalogue here.

Alston, William. 1989. *Epistemic Justification. Essays in the Theory of Knowledge*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.

-----, 1993. *The Reliability of Sense Perception*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.

Audi, R. 2001. *The Architecture of Reason: The Structure and Substance of Rationality*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Armstrong, D.M. 1973. *Belief, Truth, and Knowledge*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Audi, R., 1988, *Belief, Justification and Knowledge*, Belmont, California: Wadsworth.

BonJour, Laurence. 1985. *The Structure of Empirical Knowledge*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

Chisholm, Roderick. 1977. *Theory of Knowledge*, 2nd ed., Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall.

Clark, Michael. 1963. "Knowledge and Grounds. A Comment on Mr. Gettier's Paper. *Analysis* 24, pp. 46-48.

- Cohen, Stewart. 1984. "Justification and Truth," *Philosophical Studies* 46, pp. 279-95.
- Conee, Earl and Feldman, Richard. 1985. "Evidentialism." *Philosophical Studies* 48.
- . 2004. *Evidentialism. Essays in Epistemology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Dretske, F., 1970, "Epistemic Operators", *The Journal of Philosophy*, 67(24): 1007-1023.
- . 1981. *Knowledge and the Flow of Information*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Gettier, Edmund. 1963. "Is Justified True Belief Knowledge?", *Analysis* 23, pp. 121-123.
- Goldman, Alvin. 1976. "Discrimination and Perceptual Knowledge." *The Journal of Philosophy* 73, pp. 771-791.
- . 1979. "What is Justified Belief?" In: *Justification and Knowledge*, ed. George S. Pappas. Dordrecht: Reidel.
- . 1986. *Epistemology and Cognition*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- . 1991. "Epistemic Folkways and Scientific Epistemology." In: *Liaisons: Philosophy Meets the Cognitive and Social Sciences*. (Cambridge: MIT Press.)
- . 1999. "Internalism Exposed." *The Journal of Philosophy* 96, pp. 271-293.
- Greco, John. 1993. "Virtues and Vices of Virtue Epistemology." *Canadian Journal of Philosophy* 23.
- Harman, Gilbert. 1977. *The Nature of Morality*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Klein, P. 1981. *Certainty: A Refutation of Scepticism*, Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Kornblith, Hilary. 2001. *Epistemology: Internalism and Externalism*. Malden (MA): Blackwell. Oxford University Press.
- Lehrer, Keith. 1990. *Theory of Knowledge*. Boulder: Westview Press.
- Nozick, Robert. 1981. *Philosophical Explanations*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Plantinga, Alvin. 1993. *Warrant: The Current Debate*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- . 1993b. *Warrant and Proper Function*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Pollock, John. 1986. *Contemporary Theories of Knowledge*. Totowa: Rowman and Littlefield.
- Shope, Robert K. 1983. *The Analysis of Knowing. A Decade of Research*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Sosa, Ernest. 1991. *Knowledge in Perspective. Selected Essays in Epistemology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Stroud, B., 1984, *The Significance of Philosophical Scepticism*, Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Swain, Marshall. 1981. *Reasons and Knowledge*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- Swinburne, Richard. 2001. *Epistemic Justification*, Oxford University Press.
- Unger, Peter. 1975. *Ignorance: A Case for Scepticism*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Williamson, Timothy. 2000. *Knowledge and its Limits*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

## II) More Recent Developments within Mainstream Epistemology

### 1. Contextualism and Subject-Sensitive Invariantism

- Cohen, S., 1986, "Knowledge and Context", *The Journal of Philosophy*, 83: 574-583.
- . 1987, "Knowledge, Context, and Social Standards", *Synthese*, 73: 3-26.
- . 1988, "How to be a Fallibilist", *Philosophical Perspectives, Volume 2*: 91-123.
- . 1998, "Contextualist Solutions to Epistemological Problems: Skepticism, Gettier, and the Lottery", *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*, 76(2): 289-306.
- . 1999, "Contextualism, Skepticism, and The Structure of Reasons", *Philosophical Perspectives 13: Epistemology*: 57-89.

- . 2001, "Contextualism Defended: Comments on Richard Feldman's 'Skeptical Problems, Contextualist Solutions'", *Philosophical Studies*, 103: 87–98.
- . 2004, "Contextualism and Unhappy-Face Solutions: Reply to Schiffer", *Philosophical Studies*, 119: 185-97.
- . 2005a, "Contextualism Defended" and "Contextualism Defended Some More", in Steup and Sosa, eds.: 56-62, 67-71.
- . 2005b, "Knowledge, Speaker, Subject", *The Philosophical Quarterly*, 55(219): 199-212
- DeRose, K., 1991, "Epistemic Possibilities", *The Philosophical Review*, 100(4): 581-605.
- . 1992, "Contextualism and Knowledge Attributions", *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, 52(4): 913-929.
- . 1995, "Solving the Skeptical Problem", *The Philosophical Review*, 104(1), 1-52.
- . 1999, "Contextualism: An Explanation and Defense," in *The Blackwell Guide to Epistemology*, J. Greco and E. Sosa, eds., Malden MA, pp. 185-203.
- . 2000, "Now You Know It, Now You Don't", *Proceedings of the Twentieth World Congress of Philosophy* (Philosophy Documentation Center) Vol. V, Epistemology: 91-106.
- . 2002, "Assertion, Knowledge and Context", *The Philosophical Review*, 111(2): 167-203.
- . 2004a, "Single Scoreboard Semantics", *Philosophical Studies*, 119(1-2): 1-21.
- . 2004b, "Sosa, Safety, Sensitivity, and Skeptical Hypotheses," in Greco, ed., 2004: 22-41.
- . 2005, "The Ordinary Language Basis for Contextualism and the New Invariantism", *The Philosophical Quarterly*, 55(219): 172-198.
- . 2006, "'Bamboozled by Our Own Words': Semantic Blindness and Some Arguments Against Contextualism", *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, 73(2): 316-338.
- . 2009. *The Case for Contextualism: Knowledge, Skepticism, and Context*. Oxford University Press.
- Egan, A., J. Hawthorne, and B. Weatherson, 2005, "Epistemic Modals in Context", in Preyer and Peter, eds., pp. 131-168.
- Fantl, J., and M. McGrath, 2002, "Evidence, Pragmatics, and Justification", *The Philosophical Review*, 111: 67-94.
- . Forthcoming, "Knowledge and the Purely Epistemic: in Favor of Pragmatic Encroachment", *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*.
- Hawthorne, J. 2004, *Knowledge and Lotteries*, New York and Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Lewis, D. 1996, "Elusive Knowledge", *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*, 74(4): 549-567.
- Ludlow, P., 2005, "Contextualism and the New Linguistic Turn in Epistemology", in Preyer and Peter, eds.: 11-50.
- MacFarlane, J., 2005, "The Assessment Sensitivity of Knowledge Attributions", in T. S. Gendler and J. Hawthorne eds., *Oxford Studies in Epistemology 1*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp.197-233.
- Nagel, J. 2008. "Knowledge Ascriptions and the Psychological Consequences of Changing Stakes." *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 86 (2): 279-294.
- Preyer, G., and G. Peter, eds., 2005, *Contextualism in Philosophy: Knowledge, Meaning, and Truth*, Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Pritchard, D., 2000, "Closure and Context", *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*, 78(2): 275-280.
- . 2002, "Two Forms of Epistemological Contextualism", *Grazer Philosophische Studien*, 64: 19–55.

- . Forthcoming, "Contextualism, Skepticism, and Warranted Assertibility Manoeuvres," *Knowledge and Skepticism*, J. K. Campbell, M. O'Rourke & H. Silverstein, eds., Cambridge MA: The MIT Press.
- Rysiew, P., 2001, "The Context-Sensitivity of Knowledge Attributions", *Noûs*, 35(4): 477-514.
- , 2005, "Contesting Contextualism", *Grazer Philosophische Studien*, 69: 51-70
- Schaffer, J., 2004, "From Contextualism to Contrastivism", *Philosophical Studies*, 119(1-2): 73-103.
- . 2005, "What Shifts? Thresholds, Standards, or Alternatives?", in Preyer and Peter, eds., pp. 115-130.
- Sosa, E. 2000. "Skepticism and Contextualism." In: Tomberlin, J. (ed.). *Philosophical Issues* 10: 1-18.
- Stanley, J., 2004, "On the Linguistic Basis for Contextualism", *Philosophical Studies*, 119(1-2): 119-146.
- . 2005a, "Fallibilism and Concessive Knowledge Attributions", *Analysis*, 65(2): 126-31.
- . 2005b, *Knowledge and Practical Interests*, New York and Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Weatherston, B. Forthcoming. "Knowledge, Bets and Interests" in Jessica Brown & Mikkel Gerken. *Knowledge Ascriptions*. Oxford University Press.
- Williamson, T., 2005a. "Knowledge, Context, and the Agent's Point of View", in Preyer & Peter, eds.: 91-114.
- . 2005b, "Contextualism, Subject-Sensitive Invariantism and Knowledge of Knowledge", *The Philosophical Quarterly*, 55(219): 213-35.

## 2. Knowledge, Safety, and Methods

Keith DeRose has gone on record stating that Williamson's *Knowledge and its Limits* is the most important work to be published in epistemology since 1975. And Gilbert Harman, in his review of this work, states that it will "set the agenda for epistemology for this next decade and beyond." Today there are whole graduate seminars devoted to the ideas presented in this modern classic. Below are some noteworthy works on safety, in general, and *Knowledge and its Limits*, in particular.

- Breuckner, A. 2002. "Williamson on the Primeness of Knowing." *Analysis* 62 (3): 197-202.
- . "Knowledge, Evidence, and Skepticism according to Williamson." *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 70(2):436-443.
- Breuckner, A. & Fiocco, M.O. 2002. "Williamson's Anti-luminosity Argument." *Philosophical Studies* 110(3): 285-93.
- Cassam, Q. 2010. "Can the Concept of Knowledge be Analysed?" In: Greenough, P. & Pritchard, D. (eds.). *Williamson on Knowledge*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Comesaña, J. 2005. "Unsafe Knowledge." *Synthese* 146: 395-404.
- Conee, E. 2005. "The Comforts of Home." *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 70 (2):444-451.
- Conee, E. & Feldman, R. 2000. "The Generality Problem for Reliabilism". In: Kim, J. & Sosa, E. (eds.). *Epistemology: An Anthology*. UK: Blackwell, pp. 372-87.
- DeRose, K. (2002). "*Knowledge and its Limits*." *British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 53 (4):573-577.



- . 1996. "Knowledge, Assertion, and Lotteries." *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 74: 568-80.
- Foley, R. 2002. "Review of Timothy Williamson's *Knowledge and Its Limits*." *Mind* 111 (443):718-726.
- Goldman, A. 2009. "Williamson on Knowledge and Evidence." In: Pritchard, D. & Greenough, P. (eds.). *Williamson on Knowledge*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Greco, J. 2007. "Worries about Pritchard's Safety." *Synthese* 158: 299-302.
- Harman, G. 2002. "Reflections on *Knowledge and its Limits*." *Philosophical Review* 111 (3): 417-24.
- Hawthorne, J. 2005. "Knowledge and Evidence." *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, 70: 452-458.
- . 2004. *Knowledge and Lotteries*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hawthorne, J. & Gendler, T. 2005. "The Real Guide to Fake Barns." *Philosophical Studies* 124: 331-352.
- Hawthorne, J. & Lasonen-Aarnio, M. 2009. "Knowledge and Objective Chance". In: Greenough, P. & Pritchard, D. (eds.). *Williamson on Knowledge*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 92-108.
- Jackson, F. 2002. "A Critical Notice of *Knowledge and its Limits* by Timothy Williamson." *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 80 (4): 516-521.
- Joyce, J. 2004. "Williamson on Evidence and Knowledge." *Analytic Philosophy* 45(4): 296-305.
- Lackey, J. 2006. "Pritchard's *Epistemic Luck*." *Philosophical Quarterly* 56: 284-9.
- Lowe, E.J. 2002. "Critical Notices: Is Knowing a State of Mind?". In: *International Journal of Philosophical Studies*, 10(4):483-503.
- Magnus, P. & Cohen, J. "Williamson on Knowledge and Psychological Explanation." *Philosophical Studies* 116: 37-52.
- Neta, R. & Rohrbaugh, G. 2004. "Luminosity and the Safety of Knowledge." *Pacific Philosophical Quarterly* 85: 396-406.
- Pritchard, D. 2005. *Epistemic Luck*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- . 2007. "Anti-Luck Epistemology." *Synthese* 158: 277-97.
- . 2008. "Knowledge, Luck, and Lotteries." In: Hendricks, V. & Pritchard, D. (eds.). *New Waves in Epistemology*. London: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 28-51.
- . 2009a. "Safety-Based Epistemology: Whither Now?" *Journal of Philosophical Research* 34: 33-45.
- . 2009b. *Knowledge*. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- . 2010. "Part I: Knowledge and Understanding." In: Pritchard, D., Millar, A., and Haddock, A. *The Nature and Value of Knowledge: Three Investigations*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Pritchard, D. & Greenough D. (eds.). *Williamson on Knowledge*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. (This is a fantastic collection of fifteen independent essays identifying areas of concern each author has with the positions Williamson takes in *Knowledge and its Limits*. The collection ends with Williamson's replies to his critics.)
- Rabinowitz, Dani. 2011. "The Safety Condition for Knowledge." *Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy*.
- Sainsbury, R.M. 1997. "Easy Possibilities." *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 57(4): 907-919.
- Sosa, E. 1999a. "How to Defeat Opposition to Moore." *Philosophical Perspectives* 13: 141-54.
- . 1999b. "How must knowledge be modally related to what is known?" *Philosophical Topics* 26 (1&2): 373-384.

- Vogel, J. 1999. "The New Relative Alternative Theory". *Philosophical Perspectives* 13: 155-80.
- Weiner, M. 2005. "Must we Know what we Say?" *Philosophical Review* 114(2): 227-51.
- Weatherson, B. 2004. "Luminous Margins." *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 83: 373-83
- Williamson, T. 1994. *Vagueness*. Routledge: UK.
- . 1995. "Is Knowing a State of Mind?" *Mind* 104: 533-555.
- . 2000. *Knowledge and its Limits*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- . 2009a. "Reply to Cassam." In: Greenough, P. & Pritchard, D. (eds.). *Williamson on Knowledge*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 285-292.
- . 2009b. "Reply to Goldman." In: Greenough, P. & Pritchard, D. (eds.). *Williamson on Knowledge*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 305-312.
- . 2009c. "Reply to John Hawthorne and Maria Lasonen-Aarnio." In: Greenough, P. & Pritchard, D. (eds.). *Williamson on Knowledge*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 313-29.
- . 2009d. "Probability and Danger." The Amherst Lecture in Philosophy, Lecture 4. <http://www.amherstlecture.org/williamson2009>.
- . Forthcoming. "Improbable Knowledge." In Dougherty, T. (ed.). *Evidentialism and its Discontents*. Oxford University Press.

### 3. Epistemic Defeat

- Alex Baltag & Sonja Smets. (2008). "Probabilistic Dynamic Belief Revision." *Synthese* 165 (2):179 - 202.
- Matthew S. Bedke (forthcoming). "Developmental process reliabilism: On justification, defeat, and evidence." *Erkenntnis*.
- Michael Bergmann (2006). *Justification Without Awareness: A Defense of Epistemic Externalism*. Oxford University Press.
- . (2005). "Defeaters and higher-level requirements." *Philosophical Quarterly* 55 (220):419-436.
- . (2000). "Deontology and defeat." *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 60 (1):87-102.
- . (1997). "Internalism, externalism and the no-defeater condition." *Synthese* 110 (3):399-417.
- Giacomo Bonanno. (2005). "A Simple Modal Logic for Belief Revision." *Synthese* 147 (2):193 - 228.
- Andy Egan & Adam Elga (2005). "I can't believe I'm stupid." *Philosophical Perspectives* 19 (1):77-93.
- Adam Elga (2005). "On overrating oneself... And knowing it." *Philosophical Studies* 123 (1-2):115 - 124.
- Thomas Grundmann (2009). "Reliabilism and the problem of defeaters." *Grazer Philosophische Studien* 79 (1):65-76.
- Allan Hazlett (2006). "How to defeat belief in the external world." *Pacific Philosophical Quarterly* 87 (2):198-212.
- Michael Huemer (forthcoming). "Phenomenal conservatism and self-defeat: A reply to Depoe." *Philosophical Studies*.
- . (2001). "The problem of defeasible justification." *Erkenntnis* 54 (3):375-397.
- Thomas Kelly and Sarah McGrath. (2010). "Is Reflective Equilibrium Enough?" *Philosophical Perspectives* 24 (1): 325-359.
- Thomas Kelly (2010). "Peer Disagreement and Higher Order Evidence", in Richard Feldman and Ted Warfield (eds.), *Disagreement* (OUP): 111-174.

- Jonathan Kvanvig (2007). "Two approaches to epistemic defeat." In Deane-Peter Baker (ed.), *Alvin Plantinga*. Cambridge University Press.
- Maria Lasonen-Aarnio. (2011). "Unreasonable knowledge." *Philosophical Perspectives* 24.1: 1-21.
- (2010). *Indefeasible Knowledge*. DPhil Thesis. University of Oxford.
- Alvin Plantinga (2003). "Probability and defeaters." *Pacific Philosophical Quarterly* 84 (3):291–298.
- Guy Politzer & Jean-françois Bonnefon (2006). "Two varieties of conditionals and two kinds of defeaters help reveal two fundamental types of reasoning." *Mind and Language* 21 (4):484–503.
- John Pollock (2001). "Defeasible Reasoning with Variable Degrees of Justification". *Artificial Intelligence* 133:233-282.
- Nicholas Silins (forthcoming). "Experience and Defeat." *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*.
- Robert Stalnaker (2009). "Iterated Belief Revision". *Erkenntnis* 70 (2):189 - 209.
- Roger White (2005). "Problems for dogmatism," *Philosophical Studies*, Vol. 131. (2005)

#### 4. Formal Epistemology

- Frank Arntzenius, Adam Elga & John Hawthorne (2004). "Bayesianism, infinite decisions, and binding." *Mind* 113 (450):251-283.
- Frank Arntzenius (2002). "Reflections on Sleeping Beauty." *Analysis* 62 (1):53–62.
- Nick Bostrom (2007). "Sleeping Beauty and Self-Location: A Hybrid Model." *Synthese* 157 (1):59 - 78.
- Darren Bradley (forthcoming). "Weisberg on design: What fine-tuning's got to do with it." *Erkenntnis*.
- (2009). "Multiple Universes and Observation Selection Effects." *American Philosophical Quarterly* 46 (1): 61-72.
- Richard Bradley (2005). "Radical probabilism and bayesian conditioning." *Philosophy of Science* 72 (2):342-364.
- (2001). "Ramsey and the measurement of belief." In: David Corfield & Jon Williamson (eds.), *Foundations of Bayesianism*.
- David Christensen (2001). "Preference-based arguments for probabilism." *Philosophy of Science* 68 (3):356-376.
- Cian Dorr (2002). "Sleeping Beauty: In Defence of Elga." *Analysis* 62 (4):292–296.
- Igor Douven & Timothy Williamson (2006). "Generalizing the lottery paradox." *British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 57 (4):755-779.
- Kai Draper and Joel Pust (2008). "Diachronic Dutch Books and Sleeping Beauty." *Synthese* 164 (2):281 - 287.
- Ellery Eells & Branden Fitelson (2000). "Comments and Criticism: Measuring Confirmation and Evidence." *Journal of Philosophy* 97 (12):663-672.
- Andy Egan (2007). "Some counterexamples to causal decision theory." *Philosophical Review* 116 (1):93-114.
- Adam Elga (2000) "Self-Locating Belief and the Sleeping Beauty Problem", *Analysis*, LX: 143–47.
- Brandon Fitelson (2001). "A Bayesian Account of Independent Evidence with Applications." *Proceedings of the Philosophy of Science Association* (3):S123-.
- Alan Hájek (2006). "In Memory of Richard Jeffrey: Some Reminiscences and Some Reflections on the Logic of Decision." *Philosophy of Science* 73 (5):947-958.



- James Hawthorne & Branden Fitelson (2004). "Discussion: Re-solving irrelevant conjunction with probabilistic independence." *Philosophy of Science* 71 (4):505-514.
- Terrance Horgan (2007). "Synchronic Bayesian Updating and the Generalized Sleeping Beauty Problem." *Analysis* 67 (293):50–59.
- David Lewis (2001). "Sleeping Beauty: Reply to Elga." *Analysis* 61 (3):171–76
- Scott Sturgeon (2009). "Belief, Reason & Logic." *Royal Institute of Philosophy Supplement* 84 (64):89-99.
- (2008). "Reason and the Grain of Belief." *Noûs* 42 (1):139–165.
- Michael Strevens (2001). "The Bayesian Treatment of Auxiliary Hypotheses." *British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 52 (3):515-537.
- Brian Weatherson (forthcoming). "Stalnaker on Sleeping Beauty." *Philosophical Studies*.
- Jonathan Weisberg (2009). "Locating IBE in the Bayesian Framework." *Synthese* 167 (1):125 - 143.
- Roger White (2005). "Epistemic permissiveness". *Philosophical Perspectives* 19 (1):445–459.
- (2006). "The Generalized Sleeping Beauty Problem: A Challenge for Thirders." *Analysis* 66 (290):114–119.
- Timothy Williamson (2000). *Knowledge and its Limits*. Oxford University Press.
- (2009). 'Tennant's troubles', in J. Salerno, ed., *New Essays on the Knowability Paradox*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 183-204 .
- (2008). 'Why epistemology can't be operationalized', in Q. Smith, ed., *Epistemology: New Essays*. Oxford University Press, 277-300.
- (2007). 'On being justified in one's head', in M. Timmons, J. Greco and A. Mele, eds., *Rationality and the Good: Critical Essays on the Ethics and Epistemology of Robert Audi*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 106-122.
- (2004). 'Some computational constraints in epistemic logic', in D. Gabbay, S. Rahman, J. M. Torres and J. P. van Bendegem, eds., *Logic, Epistemology and the Unity of Science* (Cognitive Science Series), Oxford and Paris: Hermes, 437-456.

## 5. Testimony

- C. Coody (2002). "Testimony and intellectual autonomy." *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part A* 33 (2):355-372.
- (1992). *Testimony: A Philosophical Study*. Oxford University Press.
- Catherine Z. Elgin (2002). "Take it from me: The epistemological status of testimony." *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 65 (2):291-308.
- Richard Foley (2001). *Intellectual Trust in Oneself and Others*. Cambridge University Press.
- Elizabeth Fricker (2006a). "Varieties of Anti-Reductionism About Testimony? A Reply to Goldberg and Henderson." *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 72 (3):618-628.
- (2006b). "Testimony and Epistemic Autonomy", in Lackey and Sosa, eds. pp. 225–250.
- (2004). "Testimony: Knowing through Being Told", in I. Niiniluoto, M. Sintonen, and J. Wolenski, eds. *Handbook of Epistemology*, Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers: 109–130
- (1995). "Critical notice: Telling and trusting: Reductionism and anti-reductionism in the epistemology of testimony." *Mind* 104 (414).
- (1994) "Against Gullibility", in Matilal and Chakrabarti, eds.: 125–161.

- (1995) "Critical Notice: Telling and Trusting: Reductionism and Anti-Reductionism in the Epistemology of Testimony", *Mind*, 104 : 393–411.
- Sanford Goldberg (2011). *Relying on Others*. Oxford University Press.
- (2007). *Anti-Individualism: Mind and Language, Knowledge and Justification*. Cambridge University Press.
- (2005). "Testimonial knowledge through unsafe testimony." *Analysis* 65 (288):302–311.
- (2001). "Testimonially based knowledge from false testimony." *Philosophical Quarterly* 51 (205):512-526.
- Peter J. Graham (2010). "Testimonial Entitlement and the Function of Comprehension." In Duncan Pritchard, Alan Millar & Adrian Haddock (eds.), *Social Epistemology*. Oxford University Press.
- (2006a). "Can Testimony Generate Knowledge?" *Philosophica* 78:105-127.
- (2006b). "Testimonial justification: Inferential or non-inferential?" *Philosophical Quarterly* 56 (222):84–95.
- (2006c). "Testimonial justification: Inferential or non-inferential?" *Philosophical Quarterly* 56 (222):84-95.
- (2000). "Transferring knowledge." *Noûs* 34 (1):131–152.
- (2000). "The reliability of testimony." *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 61 (3):695-709.
- (2000). "Conveying information." *Synthese* 123 (3):365-392.
- Jennifer Lackey (2010). "Testimony: acquiring knowledge from others." In Alvin I. Goldman & Dennis Whitcomb (eds.), *Social Epistemology: Essential Readings*. Oxford University Press.
- (2008). *Learning From Words: Testimony as a Source of Knowledge*. Oxford University Press.
- (2007). "Introduction: Perspectives on testimony." *Episteme* 4 (3):233-237.
- (2006). "Knowing from testimony." *Philosophy Compass* 1 (5):432–448.
- (2005). "Testimony and the infant/child objection." *Philosophical Studies* 126 (2):163 - 190.
- (2003). "A minimal expression of non-reductionism in the epistemology of testimony." *Noûs* 37 (4):706–723.
- Jennifer Lackey & Ernest Sosa (2006). *The Epistemology of Testimony*. Oxford University Press.
- Duncan Pritchard (2004). "The epistemology of testimony." *Philosophical Issues* 14 (1):326–348.

## 6. Etiology

### i) Etiology and Environment:

- Tim Bayne and Jordi Fernandez (eds.) (2008) *Delusions, Self-Deception, and Affective Influences on Belief-Formation*. Psychology Press
- Robert Boyd (2001). "Norms and Bounded Rationality." In G. Gigerenzer and R. Selten (eds.), *Bounded Rationality: The Adaptive Toolbox*. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT
- Peter Carruthers, Stephen Laurence & Stephen P. Stich (eds.) (2006). *The Innate Mind: Culture and Cognition*. Volume 2. Oxford University Press.
- Andy Egan (Forthcoming). "Comments on Tamar Gendler's 'The Epistemic Costs of Implicit Bias.'" *Philosophical Studies*.

- Adam Elga and Andy Egan (2005). "I Can't Believe I'm Stupid." *Philosophical Perspectives* 19(1): 77-93.
- Adam Elga, Joshua Schechter, and Roger White (2010). "The problem of contingency." *Philosophy TV* <http://www.philostv.com/adam-elga-joshua-schechter-and-roger-white/>
- Tamar Gendler (forthcoming). "On the Epistemic Costs of Implicit Bias." *Philosophical Studies*.
- (2008a). "Alief and Belief." *Journal of Philosophy* 105 (10):634-663.
- (2008b). "Alief in Action (and Reaction)." *Mind & Language* 23 (5):552-585.
- Gerd Gigerenzer and Reinhard Selten (eds.) (2002). *Bounded Rationality: The Adaptive Toolbox*. MIT Press.
- Bryan Jones (1999). "Bounded Rationality." *Annual Review of Political Science* 2:297–32
- Daniel Kahneman (2003). "Maps of bounded rationality: psychology for behavioral economics". *The American Economic Review* 93 (5): 1449–75.
- Shaun Nichols, Stephen Stich & Jonathan M. Weinberg (eds.) (2003). *Metaskepticism: Meditations in Ethnoepistemology*. In S. Luper (ed.), *The Sceptics*. Ashgate
- Roger White (2010). "You just believe that because ..." *Philosophical Perspectives* 24:1, 573-615

## ii) Etiology and Genetic Makeup

- J. Alford et. al. (2005). "Are Political Orientations Genetically Transmitted?" *American Political Science Review* 99: 153–167.
- Nathan Ballantyne (draft). "Genes and Attitudes." (Nathan is an epistemologist at Fordham University).
- Tom Bouchard (2004). "Genetic Influence on Human Psychological Traits." *Current Directions in Psychological Science* 13: 148–151.
- Carl Bruder et al. (2008). "Phenotypically Concordant and Discordant Monozygotic Twins Display Different DNA Copy-Number-Variation Profiles." *American Journal of Human Genetics* 82: 763–771.
- Peter Carruthers, Stephen Laurence & Stephen P. Stich (eds.) (2005). *The Innate Mind: Structure and Contents*. Volume 1. Oxford University Press.
- Peter Carruthers, Stephen Laurence & Stephen P. Stich (eds.) (2008). *The Innate Mind: Foundations and the Future*. Volume 3 Oxford University Press.
- Peter Hatemi et. al. (2010). "Not by Twins Alone: Using the Extended Twin Family Designed to Investigate the Genetic Basis of Political Beliefs." *American Journal of Political Science* 54: 798–814.
- K. Jang et. al. (1996). "Heritability of the Big Five Personality Dimensions and their Facets: A Twin Study." *Journal of Personality* 64: 577–591.
- Peter Lipton (2004). "Genetic and Generic Determinism: A New Threat to Free Will?" In *New Brain Sciences: Perils and Prospects* (eds.) D. Rees and S. Rose. New York: Cambridge University Press, 88–100.
- D. Lykken et. al. (1992) "Emergenesis: genetic traits that may not run in families." *American Psychologist* 47: 1565–1577.
- N. Martin et al. (1986). "Transmission of Social Attitudes." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 83: 4364–4368.
- J. Olson et al. 2001. "The Heritability of Attitudes: A Study of Twins." *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 80: 845–860.
- Richard Samuels & Stephen Stich (2004). "Rationality and psychology." In Piers Rawling & Alfred R. Mele (eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Rationality*. Oxford University Press.

- Eliezer Sternberg (2010). *My Brain Made Me Do It: The Rise of Neuroscience and the Threat to Moral Responsibility*. Prometheus Books.
- Abraham Tesser (1993). "The Importance of Heritability in Psychological Research: The Case of Attitudes." *Psychological Review* 100: 129–142.
- Abraham Tesser, and Rick Crelia (1994). "Attitude Heritability and Attitude Reinforcement: A Test of the Niche Building Hypothesis." *Personality and Individual Differences* 16: 571–577.

### iii) Etiology and Evolution

- Anthony P. Atkinson & M. Wheeler (2003). "Evolutionary Psychology's Grain Problem and the Cognitive Neuroscience of Reasoning." In David E. Over (ed.). *Evolution and the Psychology of Thinking: The Debate*. Psychology Press.
- Scott Atran (1995), 'Causal Constraints on Categories and Categorical Constraints on Biological Reasoning across Cultures', in D. Sperber, D. Premack, and A. J. Premack (eds.). *Causal Cognition: A Multidisciplinary Debate* (New York: Oxford University Press), 205–33.
- Justin Barrett and M. A. Nyhof (2001). "Spreading Non-natural Concepts: The Role of Intuitive Conceptual Structures in Memory and Transmission of Cultural Materials." *Journal of Cognition and Culture*, (1) 1: 69-100.
- James Beilby (ed.) (2002). *Naturalism Defeated? Essays on Plantinga's Evolutionary Argument against Naturalism*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- A Derksen (2001). "Evolutionary Epistemology in Defense of the Reliability of Our Everyday Perceptual Knowledge: A Promise of Evolutionary Epistemology." *Philosophia-Naturalis*, 38/2: 245-270.
- Dylan Evans and Pierre Cruse (eds.) (2004), *Emotion, Evolution and Rationality* (Oxford: Oxford University Press).
- Paul E. Griffiths & John S. Wilkins (2009). "When Do Evolutionary Explanations of Belief Debunk Belief?" Presented at *Darwin in the 21st Century*, Notre Dame University.
- Hull, David L. (2001), "In Search of Epistemological Warrant" in *Selection Theory and Social Construction: The Evolutionary Naturalistic Epistemology of Donald T. Campbell*, edited by Cecilia Heyes and David Hull, Albany, NY: SUNY Press, pp. 155-167.
- Ryan McKay and Daniel Dennett (2009). "The Evolution of Misbelief." *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 32: 493-56.
- Steven Mithen (2002). "Human Evolution and the Cognitive Basis of Science." In Carruthers, Peter, Stephen Stich, and Michael Siegal (eds.) (2002) *The Cognitive Basis of Science*. Cambridge University Press, 23-41
- David Papineau (2003). *The Roots of Reason: Philosophical Essays on Rationality, Evolution, and Probability*. Oxford University Press
- Joshua Schechter. (forthcoming). "Could Evolution Explain Our Reliability about Logic?" *Oxford Studies in Epistemology*, 4.
- (2010). "The Reliability Challenge and the Epistemology of Logic." *Philosophical Perspectives* 24, Epistemology, 43-464.
- Vollmer, Gerhard (2005), "How is it that we can know this world? New arguments in evolutionary epistemology," in *Darwinism & Philosophy* V. Höhle and Christian Illies (eds.). University of Notre Dame Press.

## Section B: An Overview of Religious Epistemology

Religious epistemology is a subdiscipline that depends on mainstream epistemology to furnish it with new tools with which to study any relevant aspect of religion. Given the explosion of activity in mainstream epistemology from the 1960's onwards, it is no surprise to find similarly rich productivity within religious epistemology. Alston, Plantinga, Wolterstorff, and Swinburne all had previously published in mainstream journal before developing their groundbreaking accounts within religious epistemology. The works by Alston and Plantinga defending the epistemic credentials of religious belief on the back of an anti-evidentialist account of perception, dominated the literature. Such accounts themselves were the direct result of the rise of externalism in epistemology made popular, to a large extent, by the work of Alvin Goldman in the seventies. Both Alston and Plantinga produced accessible and formidable arguments in favor of either epistemic justification or warrant of religious belief. Below is a select number of relevant primary and secondary literature.

### 1. Works by William P. Alston

- Alston, W. 1982. "Religious Experience and Religious Belief". *Nous*, 16: 3-12.
- . 1983. "Christian Experience and Christian Belief". In *Faith and Rationality: Reason and Belief in God*, ed. Alvin Plantinga and Nicholas Wolterstorff. Notre Dame, IN: University of Notre Dame Press, 103-134.
- . 1986. "Religious Experience as a Ground of Religious Belief". In *Religious Experience and Religious Belief*, ed. Joseph Runzo and Craig K. Ihara. Lanham, MD: University Press of America, 31-51.
- . 1988. "The Perception of God". *Philosophical Topics*, 16: 23-52.
- . 1991a. "Knowledge of God". In *Faith, Reason, and Skepticism*, ed. M. Hester. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.
- . 1991b. *Perceiving God*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.

### 2. Works by Alvin Plantinga

- Plantinga, A. 1980. "The Reformed Objection to Natural Theology." In *Proceedings of the American Catholic Philosophical Association* 54.
- . 1981a. "Is Belief in God Properly Basic?" *Nous* 15: 41-51.
- . 1981b. "Rationality & Religious Belief." In *Contemporary Philosophy of Religion*, ed. S. Cahn & D. Shatz. New York: University of Oxford Press. Pages 255-277.
- . 1982a. "On Reformed Epistemology." *The Reformed Journal* 32 (January): 13-17.
- . 1982b. "Reformed Epistemology Again." *The Reformed Journal* 32 (July): 7-8.
- . 1982c. "The Reformed Objection to Natural Theology." *Christian Scholars Review* 11: 187-198.
- . 1983a. *Faith and Rationality: Reason and Belief in God*, ed. Alvin Plantinga and Nicholas Wolterstorff. Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame Press.



----- . 1983b. "Reason and Belief in God." In *Faith and Rationality*, ed. Alvin Plantinga and Nicholas Wolterstorff. Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame Press. Pages 16-93.

----- . 1999b. *Warranted Christian Belief*. New York: Oxford University Press.

### 3. Secondary Literature on Alston's Religious Epistemology

Audi, Robert. 1995a. "Perceptual Experience, Doxastic Practice, and the Rationality of Religious Commitment." *Journal of Philosophical Research*. 20:1-18.

----- . 1995b. "Religious Experience and the Practice Conception of Justification." In *The Rationality of Belief and the Plurality of Faith*, ed. Thomas Senor. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.

Brown, Michael. 1991. "Skepticism, Religious Belief, and the Extent of Doxastic Reliability." *Logos (USA)* 12: 139-151.

Gale, Richard. 1994. "Why Alston's Mystical Doxastic Practice is Subjective," *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 4: 869-875.

Hasker, William. 1995. "The Epistemic Value of Religious Experience: Perception and Explanatory Models." In *The Rationality of Belief and the Plurality of Faith*, ed. Thomas Senor. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.

Kretzmann, Norman. 1995. "St Teresa, William Alston, and the Broadminded Atheist." *Journal of Philosophical Research*, 20, 45-66.

Mawson, T. "How can I know I've perceived God?" *International Journal for Philosophy of Religion*, 57

Meeker, Kevin. 1994. "William Alston's Epistemology of Religious Experience: A Reformed Reformed Epistemology?" *International Journal for Philosophy of Religion*. 35.2: 89-110.

Pasnau, Robert. 1993. "Justified Until Proven Guilty: Alston's New Epistemology." *Philosophical Studies*, 72(1), 1-33.

Steup, Matthias. 1997. "Perceiving God: The Epistemology of Religious Experience" *Nous* (Spring), pp. 402-420.

Van Woudenberg, Rene. 1994. "Alston on Direct Perception and Interpretation." *International Journal for Philosophy of Religion*. 36(2), 117-124.

White, David. 1996. "Can Alston Withstand the Gale?" *International Journal for Philosophy of Religion*. 39(3), 141-149.

### 4. Secondary Literature on Plantinga's *Warranted Christian Epistemology*

Boyle, Joseph, J. 1988. "Is "God Exists" a Properly Basic Belief?: A Consideration of Alvin Plantinga's Argument." In *Thomist Papers* 4, ed. Leonard A. Kennedy. Houston, TX: Center for Thomistic Studies, 169-184.

Davis, John Jefferson. 1987. "Belief in Design as Properly Basic." *Trinity Journal* 8:145 57.

DeRose, K. 1999. "Voodoo Epistemology." Address to the SCP, December 27<sup>th</sup>.

Jeffreys, Derek. 1997. "How 'Reformed' is Reformed Epistemology? Alvin Plantinga and Calvin's 'Sensus Divinitatis'" *Religious Studies* 33: 419-431.

Draper, Pual. 1991. "Evil and the Proper Basicity of Belief in God." *Faith and Philosophy*. 135-147, Ap

Evans, C. Stephen. 1988. "Kierkegaard and Plantinga on Belief in God". *Faith and Philosophy*, 5: 25-39.

Goetz, Stewart C. 1983. "Belief in God is not Properly Basic." *Religious Studies* 19: 475-484.

- Grigg, Richard. 1983. "Theism and Proper Basicity: A Response to Plantinga." *International Journal for the Philosophy of Religion* 14: 123-127.
- . 1990. "The Crucial Disanalogies between Properly Basic Belief and Belief in God." *Religious Studies* 26 (September): 389-401.
- Hanink, James G. 1987. "Some Questions about Proper Basicity". *Faith and Philosophy*, 4: 13-25.
- Helm, Paul. 1997. "John Calvin's *Sensus Divinitatis*" In Helm, *Faith and Understanding*. Eerdmans Publishing Company.
- . 1998. "John Calvin, the *Sensus Divinitatis*, and the Noetic Effects of Sin." *International Journal for the Philosophy of Religion* 43: 87-107.
- Johnson, Bredo C. 1986. "Basic Theistic Belief." *Canadian Journal of Philosophy* 16 (September): 455-465.
- Langtry, Bruce. 1989. "Properly Unargued Belief in God". *International Journal for Philosophy of Religion*, 26: 129-54.
- McKim, Robert. 1989. "Theism and Proper Basicity." *International Journal for the Philosophy of Religion* 26 (August): 29-56.
- Pargetter, Robert. 1990. "Experience, Proper Basicity, and Belief in God." *International Journal for the Philosophy of Religion* 27: 141-163.
- Quinn, Philip. 1985. "In Search of the Foundations of Theism." *Faith and Philosophy* 2 (October): 469-486.
- . 1991. "Epistemic Parity and Religious Argument." In Tomberlin (1991).
- . 1995. "The Foundations of Theism Again: A Rejoinder to Plantinga." In *Rational Faith*, ed. Linda Zagzebski, Notre Dame University Press, 14-47.
- Reiter, David. 1996. "Calvin's Sense of Divinity and Externalist Knowledge of God." *Faith and Philosophy* 15(3).
- Robbins, J. Wesley. 1983. "Is Belief in God Properly Basic?". *International Journal for Philosophy of Religion*, 14: 241-48.
- Sudduth, Michael Czapkay. 1998. "Calvin, Plantinga, and the Natural Knowledge of God: A Response to Beversluis." *Faith and Philosophy* 15: 92-103.
- Tilley, Terrence W. 1990. "Reformed Epistemology and Religious Fundamentalism: How Basic Are Our Basic Beliefs?" *Modern Theology* 6 (April): 237-257.
- Williams, Clifford. 1994. "Kierkegaardian Suspicion and Properly Basic Beliefs." *Religious Studies* 30(3), 261-267.
- Zagzebski, Linda, ed. 1993. *Rational Faith: Catholic Responses to Reformed Epistemology*. Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame Press.

## 5. Other Themes in Religious Epistemology

While the works of Alston, Plantinga, Wolterstorff, and Swinburne absorbed much of the creative energy within religious epistemology, several themes worth mentioning took on a life of their own. Below is a sketch of some of this important literature.

### i) The Epistemology of Religious Pluralism

- Adams, Robert. 1994. "Religious Disagreements and Doxastic Practices," *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 4: 885-890.
- Alston, W. 1997. "Response to Hick." *Faith and Philosophy* 14.3: 287-288.
- Basinger, David. 1988. "Hick's Religious Pluralism and Reformed Epistemology: A Middle Ground?" *Faith and Philosophy* 4 (October): 421-432.

- Feldman, Richard. 2007. "Reasonable Religious Disagreements," in L. Antony (ed.), *Philosophers without God: Meditations on Atheism and the Secular Life*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hick, John. 1997. "The Possibility of Religious Pluralism: A Reply to Gavin D'Costa." *Religious Studies* 33 (2):161-166.
- . 2001. *Dialogues in the Philosophy of Religion*. UK: Palgrave.
- . 2004. *An Interpretation of Religion*. UK: Palgrave.
- . 2006. "Exclusivism Versus Pluralism in Religion: A Response to Kevin Meeker." *Religious Studies* 42 (2): 207-212.
- Kraft, James. 2007. 'Religious disagreement, externalism, and the epistemology of disagreement: listening to our grandmothers,' *Religious Studies*, 43: 417–432.
- Mawson, T. 2005. "Byrne's' Religious Pluralism" *International Journal for Philosophy of Religion*, 58.
- Plantinga, A. 1997. "Ad Hick." *Faith and Philosophy* 14.30: 295-98.
- Quinn, Philip L. 1995. "Towards Thinner Theologies: Hick and Alston on Religious Diversity." *International Journal for Philosophy of Religion*. 38(1-3), 145-164,
- Runzo, Joseph. 1995. "Perceiving God, Worldviews, and Faith: Meeting the Problem of Religious Pluralism." In *The Rationality of Belief and the Plurality of Faith*, ed. Thomas Senor. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- Schellenberg, J L. 1994. "Religious Experience and the Religious Diversity: A Reply to Alston." *Religious Studies*, 30(2), 151-159.

## ii) The Evolutionary Challenge to Religious Belief

In recent years the perennial question of science vs. religion has taken on a particular shape; namely, evolutionary accounts of the origins of religious beliefs that have been put forward by several scientists have been used by some to formulate a new challenge to the epistemic status of religious beliefs. This literature has a counterpart in the evolutionary challenge to moral beliefs. A selection of this relatively new literature follows.

- Atran, S. 2002. *In gods we trust*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Atran, S., & Norenzyan, A. 2004. "Religion's evolutionary landscape: Counterintuition, commitment, compassion, communion." *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 27, 713–770.
- Barrett, J. L. 2004. *Why would anyone believe in God?*. Walnut Creek, CA: AltaMira Press.
- . 2007a. "Cognitive science of religion: What is it and why is it?" *Religion Compass*, 1.
- . 2007b. Is the spell really broken? Bio-psychological explanations of religion and theistic belief. *Theology and Science*, 5(1), 57–72.
- . 2009. "Cognitive Science, Religion, and Theology." In: Schloss, J. & Murray, M.J. (eds.). *The Believing Primate: Scientific, Philosophical, and Theological Reflections on the Origin of Religion*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 76-99.
- Bering, J. M. 2006. "The cognitive psychology of belief in the supernatural." *American Scientist*, 94, 142–149.
- . 2011. *The Belief Instinct: The Psychology of Souls, Destiny, and the Meaning of Life*. NY: Norton.
- Bloom, P. 2005. "Is God an accident?" *The Atlantic Monthly* (December).
- Boyer, P. 2001. *Religion Explained: The Evolutionary Origins of Religious Thought*. NY: Basic Books.
- Bulbulia, J. 2007. "Evolution of religion." In R. I. Dunbar & L. Barrett (Eds.), *Oxford handbook of evolutionary psychology*. New York: Oxford University Press.

- . 2009. "Religiosity as mental time-travel: Cognitive adaptations for religious behavior." In J. Schloss & M.J. Murray *The believing primate* (pp. 44–75). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Clark, K. & Barrett, J. 2010. "Reformed Epistemology and the Cognitive Science of Religion." *Faith and Philosophy* 28 (2), pp. 174-189.
- . Forthcoming. "Reidian Religious Epistemology and the Cognitive Science of Religion." *Journal of the American Academy of Religion*.
- Dawkins, Richard. 2006. *The God Delusion*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Dennett, D. C. 2006. *Breaking the spell*. New York: Viking.
- Henig, R. M. 2007. Darwin's God. *The New York Times* (March 4).
- Huemer, M. 2001. *Skepticism and the veil of perception*. Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield.
- Johnson, D., & Bering, J. 2009. "Hand of God, mind of man: Punishment and cognition in the evolution of cooperation." In J. Schloss & M.J. Murray *The believing primate* (pp. 26–43). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Murray, M. J. 2009. "Scientific explanations of religion and the justification of religious belief." In J. Schloss & M.J. Murray *The believing primate* (pp. 168–178). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Norenzyan, A. 2010. "Why we believe: Religion as a human universal." In H. Hogh-Oleson (Ed.), *Human morality and sociality: Evolutionary and comparative perspectives* (pp. 58–71). New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Ruse, M. 2007. "The sociobiological account of religious belief. In C. Meister & P. Copan (Eds.), *Routledge companion to philosophy of religion*. London: Routledge.
- Schloss, J. & Murray, M. 2009. *The Believing Primate*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Thurrow, J. 2011. "Does Cognitive Science Show Belief in God to be Irrational? The Epistemic Consequences of the Cognitive Science of Religion." *International Journal for the Philosophy of Religion*.
- Wilson, D. S. 2002. *Darwin's cathedral*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- . 2005. "Testing major evolutionary hypotheses about religion with a random sample." *Human Nature*, 16(4), 419–446.

### iii) The Epistemology of Skeptical Theism

In the very long history of philosophy of religion, no question has received as much attention as the problem of evil: How could an omniscient, omnipotent, and omnibenevolent God permit so much evil? In the past the existence of evil was typically taken to be logically incompatible with the existence of God. However, towards the end of the twentieth century, work began in earnest on the evidential problem of evil (in large part owing to the work of William Rowe). One defense of theism took on a particularly epistemic form—skeptical theism (a view that is so called owing to its emphasis on our ignorance of divine intentions and plans). Below are some noteworthy publications.

- Almeida, M. & Oppy, G. 2003. "Sceptical Theism and Evidential Arguments from Evil," *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 81:4, pp. 496-516.
- Alston, W. 1991. "The Inductive Argument from Evil and the Human Cognitive Condition," *Philosophical Perspectives* 5, pp. 29-67.
- Bergmann, M. 2001. "Skeptical Theism and Rowe's New Evidential Argument from Evil," *Nous* 35, pp. 278-296.
- Draper, P. 1989. "Pain and Pleasure: An Evidential Problem for Theists," *Nous* 23, pp. 331-350.

- Dougherty, T. 2008. "Epistemological Considerations Concerning Skeptical Theism," *Faith & Philosophy* 25, pp. 172-176.
- Hasker, W. 2004. "The sceptical solution to the problem of evil," in Hasker, W. *Providence, Evil, and the Openness of God* (Routledge) pp. 43-57.
- Hick, J. 1966. *Evil and the God of Love* (Harper & Rowe).
- Howard-Snyder, D. 2010. "Epistemic Humility, Arguments from Evil, and Moral Skepticism," in Kvanvig, J. (ed.) *Oxford Studies in Philosophy of Religion* (Oxford: Oxford University Press) pp. 17-57.
- Jordan, J. 2006. "Does Skeptical Theism Lead to Moral Skepticism?" *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 72, pp. 403-416.
- McBrayer, J. 2009. "CORNEA and Inductive Evidence," *Faith & Philosophy* 26:1, pp. 77-86.
- 2010. "Skeptical Theism," *Philosophy Compass* 4:1, pp. 1-13 (Blackwell).
- Plantinga, A. 1974. *God, Freedom, and Evil* (Eerdmans).
- Rowe, W. 1979. "The Problem of Evil and Some Varieties of Atheism," *American Philosophical Quarterly* 16, pp. 335-41.
- 2001. "Skeptical Theism: A Response to Bergmann," *Nous* 35, pp. 297-303.
- Sennett, J. 1993. "The Inscrutable Evil Defense against the Inductive Argument from Evil," *Faith & Philosophy* 10, pp. 220-229.
- Tooley, M. 1991. "The Argument from Evil," *Philosophical Perspectives* 5, pp. 89-134.
- Trakakis, N. 2003. "Evil and the complexity of history: a response to Durston," *Religious Studies* 39, pp. 451-458.
- Van Inwagen, P. 2003. *The Problem of Evil* (Oxford University Press).
- Wilks, I. 2009. "Skeptical Theism and Empirical Unfalsifiability," *Faith & Philosophy* 26:1, pp. 64-76.
- Wykstra, S. 1984. "The Humean Obstacle to Evidential Arguments from Suffering: On Avoiding the Evils of 'Appearance'," *International Journal of Philosophy of Religion* 16, pp. 73-93.

#### iv) General Religious Epistemology

In addition to the foregoing themes, several traditional themes continued to be addressed in the literature, e.g., epistemic issues related to faith vs. reason, miracles, Wittgenstein's religious epistemology, and William James's pragmatism.

- Audi, R. 2008. "Belief, Faith, and Acceptance." *International Journal for Philosophy of Religion* 63: 87-102.
- Forthcoming. *Rationality and Religious Commitment*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Beckwith, Francis J. 1991. "Hume's Evidential/Testimonial Epistemology, Probability, and Miracles." *Logos (USA)* 12: 87-104.
- Bishop, J. 2007. *Believing by Faith. An Essay in the Epistemology and Ethics of Religious Belief*. Clarendon Press: Oxford.
- Lara Buchak (forthcoming). "Can It Be Rational to Have Faith?" In Jacob Chandler & Victoria Harrison (eds.), *Probability in the Philosophy of Religion*. Oxford University Press.
- Clark, Kelly James. 1990. *Return to Reason: A Critique of Enlightenment Evidentialism and a Defense of Reason and Belief in God*. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans.
- Clark, K. J. & VanArragon, R. 2011. *Evidence and Religious Belief*. Oxford University Press.



- Dole, A. & Chignell, A. (eds.). 2005. *God and the Ethics of Belief: New Essays in Philosophy of Religion*. Cambridge University Press
- Evans, C. Stephen and Merold Westphal, eds. 1993. *Christian Perspectives on Religious Knowledge*. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans Publishing Company.
- Geivett, R. & Sweetman, R. 1992. *Contemporary Perspectives on Religious Epistemology*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Kenny, Anthony. 1992. *What is Faith? Essays in the Philosophy of Religion*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Mawson, T. 2007. "Praying for Known Outcomes" *Religious Studies*, 43.
- Murray, Michael, ed. 1999. *Reason for the Hope Within*. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans.
- Phillips, D. Z. 1992. 'Faith, Skepticism, and Religious Understanding', in Geivett and Sweetman 1992, 81–91; reprinted from D. Z. Phillips 1970. *Faith and Philosophical Enquiry*, London: Routledge & Kegan Paul.
- Plantinga, Alvin, and Nicholas Wolterstorff, eds. 1983. *Faith and Rationality: Reason and Belief in God*. Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame Press
- Pritchard, Duncan. 2000. "Is 'God Exists' a 'Hinge Proposition' of Religious Belief?" *International Journal for Philosophy of Religion* 47 (3):129-140.
- Swinburne, Richard. 1984. *Faith and Reason*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Wainwright, William. 1976. *Reason and the Heart: A Prolegomenon to a Critique of Passional Reason*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- . 1994. "The Nature of Reason: Locke, Swinburne, and Edwards." In *Reason and the Christian Religion*, ed. Alan Padgett. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 91-118.
- Wolterstorff, Nicholas. 1981. "Is Reason Enough?" *The Reformed Journal* 31.
- . 1983. "Thomas Reid and Rationality". In *Rationality in the Calvinian Tradition*, ed. Hendrik Hart, Johan Van der Hoeven, and Nicholas Wolterstorff. Lanham, MD: University Press of America.
- Wykstra, Stephen. 1990. "Reasons, Redemption, and Realism: The Axiological Roots of Rationality in Science and Religion." In *Christian Theism and the Problems of Philosophy*, ed. Michael Beatty. Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame Press.

### Section C: Reflections on the Literature

Owing in large part to Alston and Plantinga, religious epistemology has experienced a fertile period of intense activity since the latter part of the twentieth century. However, in recent years the number of publications on issues related to their works has started to dry up. This is the nature of scholarship—the popularity of certain ideas wax and wane as new ideas surface to compete for attention. As is evident from the literature review provided in Section B, there is now a significant array of new ideas and tools available for religious epistemologists to avail themselves of for the purposes of mining the epistemic aspects of religion, to reach new insights, and to refine previous work on traditional questions. Some limited infiltration of these new ideas has already begun. Worth special mention in this respect is Robert Audi who maintains a respectable presence in both mainstream epistemology and religious epistemology. And Michael Murray, Kelly Clark, and Justin Barrett have been central in the defense of religious belief against evolutionary challenges. *The Believing Primate* is now required reading for anyone interested in this topic. Below are a select number of publications that demonstrate the emergence of new ideas in religious epistemology as a result of some of the new ideas generated by mainstream epistemology.

Tim Mawson (2009) "Mill's Argument Against Religious Knowledge." *Religious Studies*, 45.

In this piece Mawson discusses the epistemic upshot of one of the etiological challenges mentioned in Section B(6); namely, the “problem of contingency” which raises epistemic questions concerning the correlation between the content of one’s religious beliefs and the place of one’s birth. Mawson makes use of a short quote from John Stuart Mill’s famous work *On Liberty* where Mill brings up for discussion the correlation between religious belief and place of birth, as a means of engaging this etiological challenge to the epistemic status of religious beliefs, which, roughly stated, amounts to the following: What are we to make of the epistemic status of religious beliefs in light of the fact that there is a very high statistical correlation between the place in which one is born and brought up, on the one hand, and the content of one’s religious beliefs and commitments, on the other?

Aaron Rizzieri 2011. “Pragmatic encroachment, stakes, and religious knowledge.” *International Journal for the Philosophy of Religion*.

In this piece the author discusses the implications for knowledge of particular Christian claims in light of the literature on pragmatic factors in knowledge attributions mentioned in Section B(1) above. This work is particularly interesting in virtue of Rizzieri’s use of subject-sensitive invariantism to put a new spin on David Hume’s challenge to the legitimacy of testimony about miracles. Given the importance that miracles have played in the history and development of religions, pragmatic encroachment opens new ways of formulating and meeting Hume’s challenge.

Kelly Clark & Dani Rabinowitz 2011. “Knowledge and the Objection to Religious Belief from Cognitive Science.” *European Journal of Philosophy of Religion* 3: 67-83.

Whilst the epistemic challenge of evolutionary psychology to religious beliefs has received attention in the literature (see 5(ii) above), this article is the first to engage with this challenge from the perspective of Williamson’s knowledge-first epistemology. In particular, stress is placed on a safety condition for knowledge and the dynamics of method individuation central to the safety condition. The article concludes with an argument defending the epistemic status of religious beliefs that rests on recent work done by Jennifer Lackey on the epistemology of testimony.